

INFINITENESS OF A_∞ -TYPES OF GAUGE GROUPS

DAISUKE KISHIMOTO AND MITSUNOBU TSUTAYA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let P be a principal G -bundle over K . The gauge group of P is the topological group of automorphisms of P . For fixed G and K , consider all principal G -bundles P over K . It is proved in [CS, Ts] that the number of A_n -types of the gauge groups of P is finite if $n < \infty$ and K is a finite complex. We show that the number of A_∞ -types of the gauge groups of P is infinite if K is a sphere and there are infinitely many P .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let P be a principal G -bundle over a base K . The *gauge group* of P , denoted by $\mathcal{G}(P)$, is the topological group of all automorphisms of P , i.e. G -equivariant self-maps of P covering the identity map of K , where the multiplication is given by the composite of maps. In [CS], Crabb and Sutherland pose the following problem. For fixed K and G , consider all principal G -bundles P over K : is the number of homotopy types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$, or of $B\mathcal{G}(P)$, finite? Of course the problem makes sense when there are infinitely many principal G -bundles over K , or equivalently, the homotopy set $[K, BG]$ is of infinite order. The first example of this problem is formerly considered by Kono [K]: when $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $K = S^4$, there are exactly 6 homotopy types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ while $\pi_4(B\mathrm{SU}(2))$ is of infinite order. This result is actually a consequence of the complete classification of homotopy types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ for $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $K = S^4$. There are several such examples [HK1, HK2, KKKT, Th]. In a general setting, Crabb and Sutherland [CS] prove that when K is a finite complex, the number of homotopy types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is finite. They actually prove a stronger result that when K is a finite complex, the number of H -types (i.e. homotopy types as H -spaces) of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is finite. Recall that there are intermediate classes of spaces between H -spaces and loop spaces, called A_n -spaces [St], where H -spaces are A_2 -spaces and loop spaces are A_∞ -spaces. So it is natural to count the number of A_n -types (i.e. homotopy types as A_n -spaces) of gauge groups, and the second named author [Ts] generalizes the above result of Crabb and Sutherland to A_n -types: when K is a finite complex and $n < \infty$, the number of A_n -types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is finite. This generalization makes (in)finiteness of the number of homotopy types of $B\mathcal{G}(P)$, or equivalently, A_∞ -types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$, more meaningful. As for A_∞ -types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$, there is only one example due to Masbaum [Ma]: for $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $K = S^4$ (the same situation as Kono's example) the number of A_∞ -types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is infinite. This is a consequence of the cohomology calculation of $B\mathcal{G}(P)$ for the above special K and G . In

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57S05; Secondary 55P48.

Key words and phrases. gauge group, A_∞ -space, A_∞ -type.

this paper, we prove infiniteness of the number of A_∞ -types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ in a more general setting by investigating A_n -types of gauge groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a compact connected simple Lie group. As P ranges over all principal G -bundles over S^d , if there are infinitely many isomorphism types for P , then there are also infinitely many A_∞ -types of gauge groups $\mathcal{G}(P)$.*

As in [G] and [AB], it is well-known that the connected component of the mapping space $\text{map}(K, BG)$ containing a map $\alpha: K \rightarrow BG$ has the weak homotopy type of the classifying space of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}(\alpha^*EG)$. Hence the above theorem also implies that infinitely many different weak homotopy types appear among the connected components of $\text{map}(S^d, BG)$.

This work began during the authors' stay at University of Southampton. They would like to thank University of Southampton for hospitality, and would also like to thank Stephen Theriault for invitation and fruitful conversation. The first author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25400087.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section collects properties of A_n -maps and unstable algebras over the Steenrod operations which we will employ.

2.1. A_n -maps. In this paper, A_n -maps mean A_n -maps between topological monoids while there is a generalized definition of A_n -maps between A_n -spaces. This section collects basics of (fiberwise) A_n -maps between (fiberwise) topological monoids. We first recall the definition of A_n -maps between topological monoids due to Stasheff, where our references for A_n -maps between topological monoids are [St] and [Fu].

Definition 2.1. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between topological monoids X, Y is called an A_n -map if there is a collection of maps $\{h_i: I^{i-1} \times X^i \rightarrow Y\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfying $h_1 = f$ and for $i > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}; x_1, \dots, x_i) \\ = \begin{cases} h_{i-1}(t_1, \dots, \widehat{t_j}, \dots, t_{i-1}; x_1, \dots, x_j x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i) & t_j = 0 \\ h_j(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}; x_1, \dots, x_j) h_{i-j}(t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{i-1}; x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i) & t_j = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

A homotopy equivalence between topological monoids which is an A_n -map is called an A_n -equivalence. A_n -maps between topological monoids have the following properties, implying that A_n -equivalences yield an equivalence relation. The equivalence classes are called A_n -types.

Proposition 2.2. (1) *The composite of A_n -maps is an A_n -map.*

(2) *The homotopy inverse of a homotopy equivalence which is an A_n -map is an A_n -map.*

In [KK], a straightforward generalization of A_n -maps to fiberwise topological monoids is introduced, and it is shown that they have analogous properties in Proposition 2.2. Then a fiberwise

homotopy equivalence between fiberwise topological monoids which is a fiberwise A_n -map is called a *fiberwise A_n -equivalence*, and fiberwise A_n -equivalences yield an equivalence relation.

We now return to the usual A_n -maps. It is complicated to verify that a given map is an A_n -map by checking definition. There is a useful equivalence condition for a map being an A_n -map. For a topological monoid X , let $P^n X$ be the n -th projective space constructed by n -times iterated use of the Dold-Lashof construction. We denote the classifying space of X by BX , i.e. $BX = P^\infty X$. If a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between topological monoids X, Y is an A_n -map, it induces a map $P^n f: P^n X \rightarrow P^n Y$ satisfying the homotopy commutative diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma X & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P^n X & \xrightarrow{P^n f} & P^n Y \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the canonical maps. The following proposition shows that the existence of a map like $P^n f$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for f being an A_n -map.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X and Y be grouplike topological monoids. Then, a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an A_n -map if and only if there is a map $\bar{f}: P^n X \rightarrow BY$ satisfying the homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma X & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P^n X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & BY \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the canonical maps.

2.2. Unstable algebras. Throughout this subsection, the ground field of algebras, including the Steenrod algebra, are \mathbb{Z}/p , and maps between algebras with actions of the Steenrod algebra, not necessarily unstable, preserve these actions. We first recall results on embeddings of unstable algebras into $H^*(BT^\ell; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ due to Adams and Wilkerson which we will employ, where we refer to [AW] for more precise statements. Algebraic and integral extensions of graded algebras are defined in the same manner of usual rings by using homogeneous polynomials. We consider the following condition of graded algebras:

$$(2.2) \quad K^* \text{ is a connected finitely generated graded domain and } K^{\text{odd}} = 0.$$

Unstable algebras satisfying (2.2) in mind are the cohomology $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ for a connected compact Lie group G without p -torsion in integral homology and its unstable subalgebras.

Theorem 2.4 (Adams and Wilkerson [AW, Theorem 1.1 and 1.6]). *If an unstable algebra K^* satisfies the condition (2.2), there is an algebraic extension*

$$K^* \rightarrow H^*(BT^\ell; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

for some ℓ , which is unique up to automorphisms of $H^*(BT^\ell; \mathbb{Z}/p)$.

Theorem 2.5 (Adams and Wilkerson [AW, Proposition 1.10]). *Let $K^* \rightarrow H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ and $L^* \rightarrow H^*(BT^\ell; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ be algebraic extensions of unstable algebras K^*, L^* satisfying (2.2). Then for any map $K^* \rightarrow L^*$, there is a dotted filler in the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^* & \longrightarrow & H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L^* & \longrightarrow & H^*(BT^\ell; \mathbb{Z}/p). \end{array}$$

We will need to convert algebraic extensions of unstable algebras to integral extensions in order to compare the Krull dimensions. This is possible by the modification of the results of Wilkerson [W] in [N] which we recall here. We set notation. Let K^* be a graded algebra satisfying (2.2) on which the mod p Steenrod algebra acts (not necessarily unstably). As in [N] (cf. [W]), the unstable part of K^* is defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(K^*) := \{x \in K^* \mid \mathcal{P}^r \mathcal{P}^I x = 0 \text{ for } 2r > \deg \mathcal{P}^I + |x| \text{ for any multi-index } I\},$$

where for a multiindex $I = (i_1, \dots, i_s)$, we put $\mathcal{P}^I := \mathcal{P}^{i_1} \dots \mathcal{P}^{i_s}$.

Proposition 2.6 (Neusel [N, Proposition 2.3]). *Let K^*, L^* be graded algebras satisfying (2.2) on which the Steenrod algebra acts, not necessarily unstably. If $K^* \rightarrow L^*$ is an integral extension, then $\mathcal{U}(K^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^*)$ is an integral extension.*

As in [W], if a graded algebra has an action of the Steenrod algebra, then its ring of fractions inherits the action. In the next proposition, the field of fractions $Q(K^*)$ of an unstable algebra K^* is considered as the “homogeneous portion” in [W, Proposition 2.3] or the “field of fractions” in [N].

Proposition 2.7 (Neusel [N, Theorem 2.4], Wilkerson [W, Proposition 3.3]). *For a graded algebra K^* , let $\overline{K^*}$ denote the integral closure of K^* in its field of fractions $Q(K^*)$.*

(1) *If K^* is an unstable algebra satisfying (2.2), then*

$$\mathcal{U}(Q(K^*)) = \overline{K^*}.$$

(2) *If K^* is an unstable algebra which is a UFD satisfying (2.2), then*

$$\mathcal{U}(Q(K^*)) = K^*.$$

Remark 2.8. The definitions of the unstable parts in [W] is slightly different from ours [N], but we can prove Proposition 2.7 in the same way as Proposition 3.3 of [W].

Corollary 2.9. *Let K^* be an unstable algebra satisfying (2.2). Then any algebraic extension $K^* \rightarrow H^*(BT^\ell; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is an integral extension.*

Proof. Put $L^* := H^*(BT^\ell; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Then $Q(K^*) \rightarrow Q(L^*)$ is an algebraic extension of fields, so it is an integral extension. Then it follows from Proposition 2.6 that $\mathcal{U}(Q(K^*)) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(Q(L^*))$ is an

integral extension. Now by Proposition 2.7, we have $\mathcal{U}(Q(K^*)) = \overline{K^*}$ and $\mathcal{U}(Q(L^*)) = L^*$, so we get a sequence of integral extensions

$$K^* \rightarrow \overline{K^*} \rightarrow L^*.$$

Then the composite is an integral extension which can be identified with the original algebraic extension $K^* \rightarrow L^*$ by the uniqueness of Theorem 2.4, completing the proof. \square

We next recall Aguadé's calculation of the T -functor. Let G be a compact connected Lie group such that $H_*(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is p -torsion free. Then the mod p cohomology of BG is isomorphic to the invariant ring of the action of the Weyl group of G on $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, where T is a maximal torus of G . Let V be the canonical elementary abelian p -subgroup in T , and let $j: BV \rightarrow BG$ denote the canonical map. We denote the T -functor associated with V by T_V .

Proposition 2.10 (Aguadé [A, Proposition 4], Lannes [L, Proposition 3.4.6]). *There is an isomorphism*

$$T_V^{j*} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

where the left hand side denotes the component of j^* in $T_V H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is as follows. Let G be a compact connected Lie group such that $\pi_{d-1}(G)$ is of infinite order, and let P be a principal G -bundle over S^d which is classified by $\alpha \in \pi_{d-1}(G)$. Note that the infiniteness of $\pi_{d-1}(G)$ is equivalent to that there are infinitely many P . We first prove that given a positive n , if α is divisible by p^N for N large, then $\mathcal{G}(P)_{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)_{(p)}$ have the same A_n -type. We next prove that if $\mathcal{G}(P)_{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)_{(p)}$ have the same A_n -type for n, p large and G simple, then α is divisible by p . Then since there are infinitely many primes, we conclude that there are infinitely many A_∞ -types of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ when P ranges over all principal G -bundles over S^d . In the both parts, the obstruction theoretic description of the A_n -triviality of the adjoint bundle of P as in [KK] is fundamental, and in the second part, the results of unstable algebras in Section 2 underlie technical arguments.

To recall the above mentioned result of Kono and the first author [KK], we briefly recall fiberwise objects appearing in it. A space X equipped with a map $p: X \rightarrow B$ is called a *fiberwise space* over a space B . The given map p is called the *projection*. A map $X \rightarrow Y$ between fiberwise spaces over B is called a *fiberwise map* if it commutes with projections. For a fiberwise map $m: X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ from the fiber product of X and itself and a section $s: B \rightarrow X$, the triple $X = (X, m, s)$ is called a *fiberwise topological monoid* if $m \circ (1 \times m) = m \circ (m \times 1)$ as maps $X \times_B X \times_B X \rightarrow X$ and $m \circ (1, s \circ p) = m \circ (s \circ p, 1) = 1$ as maps $X \rightarrow X$. We say a fiberwise topological monoid X is a *fiberwise topological group* if there is a fiberwise map $\nu: X \rightarrow X$ such that $m \circ (1, \nu) = m \circ (\nu, 1) = s \circ p$. Like A_n -maps and A_n -equivalences between topological monoids, *fiberwise A_n -maps* and *fiberwise A_n -equivalences* between fiberwise topological monoids are defined.

Let G be a compact connected Lie group, and let P be a principal G -bundle over a base K . Define $\text{ad } P$ by

$$\text{ad } P = (P \times G) / \sim$$

where $(x, g) \sim (xh, h^{-1}gh)$ for $x \in P$ and $g, h \in G$. Then the projection $\text{ad } P \rightarrow K$ is a fiber bundle over K with fiber G which we call the *adjoint bundle* of P . As in [KK], $\text{ad } P$ is a fiberwise topological group over K , and then in particular, the space of all sections $\Gamma(\text{ad } P)$ becomes a topological group by the pointwise multiplication. Let $\text{ad } P_{(p)}$ denote the fiberwise p -localization of $\text{ad } P$. By the standard Moore path technique, we may assume that $\text{ad } P_{(p)}$ is a fiberwise topological monoid as well as $G_{(p)}$. It is shown in [AB] that there is a natural isomorphism of topological groups

$$\mathcal{G}(P) \cong \Gamma(\text{ad } P)$$

from which we get an A_∞ -equivalence

$$\mathcal{G}(P)_{(p)} \simeq \Gamma(\text{ad } P_{(p)}).$$

We connect the triviality of $\text{ad } P$ with the gauge group of P . The restriction to the fiber at the basepoint yields a homomorphism of topological groups

$$\pi: \mathcal{G}(P) \rightarrow G$$

which is identified with the map $\Gamma(\text{ad } P) \rightarrow G$ substituting the basepoint of K . If π has a right homotopy inverse σ , the map

$$K \times G \rightarrow \text{ad } P, \quad (x, g) \mapsto \sigma(g)(x)$$

is a fiberwise homotopy equivalence, where we regard $\sigma(g)$ as a section of $\text{ad } P$ by the above isomorphism. In [KK] this is generalized in the context of fiberwise A_n -types. For a given A_∞ -map, we call its right homotopy inverse which is an A_n -map by an A_n -section.

Theorem 3.1 ([KK]). *The adjoint bundle $\text{ad } P$ is fiberwise A_n -equivalent to the trivial bundle $K \times G$ if and only if π has an A_n -section.*

Let P_α denote the principal G -bundle classified by a map $\alpha: K \rightarrow BG$. As in [G] and [AB], there is a natural homotopy equivalence

$$B\mathcal{G}(P_\alpha) \simeq \text{map}(K, BG; \alpha)$$

where $\text{map}(X, Y; f)$ denotes the space of maps from X to Y which are (freely) homotopic to f . Evaluating at the basepoint of K , we get the fibration

$$\omega: \text{map}(K, BG; \alpha) \rightarrow BG$$

with fiber $\text{map}_0(K, BG; \alpha)$, where $\text{map}_0(X, Y; f)$ is the space of basepoint preserving maps from X to Y which are freely homotopic to f . By construction, the map $\pi: \mathcal{G}(P_\alpha) \rightarrow G$ is identified with $\Omega\omega$ through the above homotopy equivalence. Using this identification, we show an obstruction theoretic description of existence of an A_n -section of π . Let $j_n: \Sigma G \rightarrow P^n G$ and $i_1: \Sigma G \rightarrow BG$ be the canonical maps.

Lemma 3.2 (cf. [KK]). *The map $\pi: \mathcal{G}(P_\alpha) \rightarrow G$ has an A_n -section if and only if there is a map $K \times P^n G \rightarrow BG$ satisfying the homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \vee \Sigma G & \xrightarrow{\alpha \vee i_1} & BG \\ \downarrow \text{incl} & & \parallel \\ K \times P^n G & \longrightarrow & BG. \end{array}$$

Proof. As above, we identify π with $\Omega\omega$. By Lemma 2.3, there is an A_n -section of $\Omega\omega$ if and only if there are maps $s: G \rightarrow \Omega \text{map}(K, BG; \alpha)$ and $\bar{s}: P^n G \rightarrow \text{map}(K, BG; \alpha)$ satisfying $\Omega\omega \circ s \simeq 1_G$ and the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma G & \xrightarrow{\Sigma s} & \Sigma \Omega \text{map}(K, BG; \alpha) \\ \downarrow j_n & & \downarrow \\ P^n G & \xrightarrow{\bar{s}} & \text{map}(K, BG; \alpha). \end{array}$$

Thus by taking adjoint, we obtain the desired result. \square

Remark 3.3. We here remark that Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 hold if we localize at p .

We first show an implication of the divisibility of a map α on the triviality of the adjoint bundle $\text{ad } P_\alpha$. From now on we set $K = S^d$. Then we have $\alpha \in \pi_d(BG) \cong \pi_{d-1}(G)$.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $f: X \rightarrow BG$, the connecting map $\partial: \pi_*(BG) \rightarrow \pi_{*-1}(\text{map}_0(X, BG; f))$ of the evaluation fibration is trivial after rationalization.*

Proof. Since G is a Lie group, $BG_{(0)}$ is a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces, so it is in particular an H-space. Then $\text{map}(X, BG; f)_{(0)}$ is fiberwise homotopy equivalent to $BG_{(0)} \times \text{map}_0(X, BG; f)_{(0)}$, implying that the map $\omega_*: \pi_*(\text{map}(X, BG; f))_{(0)} \rightarrow \pi_*(BG)_{(0)}$ is surjective. Thus the proof is done. \square

Proposition 3.5. *For given n , there is an integer N such that if $\alpha \in \pi_d(BG)$ is divisible by p^N , then the fiberwise p -localized adjoint bundle $(\text{ad } P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is fiberwise A_n -equivalent to the trivial bundle $S^d \times G_{(p)}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that if α is divisible by p^N for some N , then there is a map $\mu: S^d_{(p)} \times P^n G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ which restricts to $\alpha \vee (i_n)_{(p)}: S^d_{(p)} \vee P^n G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ up to homotopy, where $i_n: P^n G \rightarrow BG$ is the canonical inclusion. Indeed for $i_n \circ j_n \simeq i_1$, existence of μ implies that $(\text{ad } P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is fiberwise A_n -equivalent to $K \times G_{(p)}$ by Lemma 3.2. By adjointness the map μ exists if and only if $\alpha_{(p)}$ is in the image of the induced map $\omega_*: \pi_d(\text{map}(P^n G_{(p)}, BG_{(p)}; i_n)) \rightarrow \pi_d(BG_{(p)})$, which is equivalent to that $\partial(\alpha_{(p)}) = 0$ for the connecting map $\partial: \pi_d(BG)_{(p)} \rightarrow \pi_{d-1}(\text{map}_0(P^n G, BG; i_n))_{(p)}$. By Lemma 3.4 this connecting map ∂ is trivial if we rationalize, so its image is of finite order. Then if α is divisible by p^N for N large, we have $\partial(\alpha_{(p)}) = 0$, completing the proof. \square

We next show an implication of the triviality of $\text{ad } P_\alpha$ on the divisibility of the classifying map α . By the Hopf theorem, we have a rational homotopy equivalence

$$G \simeq_{(0)} S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}$$

for $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell$, where n_1, \dots, n_ℓ is called the type of G . For $p > n_\ell$, there is also a p -local homotopy equivalence

$$(3.1) \quad G \simeq_{(p)} S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}.$$

Hereafter we always assume $p > n_\ell$. Notice that the mod p cohomology of BG is given by

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[y_{2n_1}, \dots, y_{2n_\ell}], \quad |y_{2n_i}| = 2n_i.$$

Here we regard that y_{2n_i} corresponds to the sphere S^{2n_i-1} in (3.1), so even if $n_i = n_j$ for some i, j , we distinguish y_{2n_i} and y_{2n_j} . The following replacement of maps between unstable algebras allows us to apply the results in Section 2.

Lemma 3.6. *If $n \geq n_\ell + p - 1$ and K^* is an unstable algebra, then for any map $\phi: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)^* \rightarrow K^* \otimes H^*(P^n G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ of unstable algebras, there is a dotted filler in the commutative diagram of unstable algebras*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \dashrightarrow & K^* \otimes H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow 1 \otimes i_n^* \\ H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & K^* \otimes H^*(P^n G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \end{array}$$

Proof. The homotopy fiber of i_n is the join of $(n+1)$ -copies of G whose mod p cohomology is trivial in dimension $\leq 2n$ since G is connected. Then we see that i_n is an isomorphism in mod p cohomology of dimension $\leq 2n$, implying that the dotted filler, say φ , exists as a maps of graded algebras. The map φ actually respects the Steenrod operations. Indeed, for $n \geq n_\ell + p - 1$,

$$\varphi(\theta y_{2n_i}) = (1 \otimes i_n^*)^{-1} \phi(\theta y_{2n_i}) = \theta (1 \otimes i_n^*)^{-1} \phi(y_{2n_i}) = \theta \varphi(y_{2n_i}) \quad \text{for } \theta = \beta, \mathcal{P}^1$$

and since we are assuming $p > n_\ell$,

$$\varphi(\mathcal{P}^{p^k} y_{n_i}) = 0 = \mathcal{P}^{p^k} \varphi(y_{n_i}) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

Then since the Steenrod algebra is generated by β, \mathcal{P}^{p^k} for $k \geq 0$, the proof is completed. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose $d \geq 4$ and α is of infinite order. If the fiberwise p -localized adjoint bundle $(\text{ad } P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is fiberwise A_n -equivalent to $S^d \times G_{(p)}$ for $n = n_\ell + p - 1$, then α is divisible by p .*

Proof. We show a contradiction by assuming α is not divisible by p . Since α is of infinite order, $d = 2n_i$ for some i . Then by (3.1), we have $\alpha^*(y_{2n_i}) = u$, where u is a generator of $H^d(S^d; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Since $(\text{ad } P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is fiberwise A_n -equivalent to $S^d \times G_{(p)}$, there is a map $\mu: S_{(p)}^d \times P^n G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ which restricts to $\alpha_{(p)} \vee (i_1)_{(p)}: S_{(p)}^d \vee \Sigma G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ up to homotopy by Lemma 3.2. Then for $n =$

$n_\ell + p - 1$, it follows from Lemma 3.6 that there is a map of unstable algebras $\Phi: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^*(S^d; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ satisfying $(1 \otimes i_n^*) \circ \Phi = \mu^*$, so we have that the composite

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^*(S^d; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

say ϕ , satisfies $i_n^* \circ \phi = i_n^*$. Hence ϕ is an isomorphism between modules of indecomposables, implying that ϕ is an isomorphism of unstable algebras. Thus the composite

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^*(S^d; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \phi^{-1}} H^*(S^d; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

denoted by Ψ is a map of unstable algebras which projects to the identity map of $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ and satisfies $\Psi(y_{2n_i}) = u \otimes 1 + \text{other terms}$.

Let T be a maximal torus of G and let V be the canonical elementary abelian p -subgroup of T of rank ℓ . Consider the composite of the maps of unstable algebras

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{\Psi} H^*(S^d; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes j^*} H^*(S^d; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes H^*(BV; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

where $j: BV \rightarrow BG$ is the canonical map. Then by taking adjoint, there is a map

$$\varphi: T_V^{j^*} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^*(S^d; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

such that the composite of φ and the natural map $q: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow T_V^{j^*} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ sends y_{2n_i} to u , where T_V means the Lannes T -functor associated with V and $T_V^{j^*} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is the component of j^* in $T_V H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ as in Section 2. This is a contradiction by Proposition 2.10 since $d \geq 4$. \square

As in the proof of [KK, Theorem 1.2], the A_n -triviality of $(\text{ad } P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ implies that $\mathcal{G}(P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)_{(p)}$ have the same A_n -type. We show the converse under some conditions. For this, we investigate self A_n -maps of simple Lie groups.

Lemma 3.8. *If G is simple and K^* is a finitely generated non-trivial unstable subalgebra of $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, then*

$$\dim_{\text{Krull}} K^* = \dim_{\text{Krull}} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Proof. Since $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is a finitely generated $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ -module for $p > n_\ell$, the natural map $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is an integral extension. Since K^* satisfies (2.2), it follows from Theorem 2.4 and 2.5 that there is an algebraic extension $K^* \rightarrow H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ for some k which satisfies a commutative diagram of unstable algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^* & \longrightarrow & H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p) \\ \downarrow \text{incl} & & \downarrow \phi \\ H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \longrightarrow & H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p). \end{array}$$

By definition ϕ is obviously injective. We now consider the action of the Weyl group W of G on $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Since $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is a ring of invariants of W and K^* is its subalgebra, K^* consists of invariants of W . Then since $K^* \rightarrow H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is an algebraic extension and $H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is algebraically closed by the uniqueness of Theorem 2.4, we have that

$W\phi(H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p)) = \phi(H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p))$. So the 2-dimensional part $\phi(H^2(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p))$ yields a representation of W . Since $k > 0$ by the non-triviality of K^* and the action of W on $H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is an irreducible representation, we must have $\phi(H^2(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p)) = H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, implying that ϕ is an isomorphism. Then we obtain

$$\dim_{\text{Krull}} K^* = \dim_{\text{Krull}} H^*(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \dim_{\text{Krull}} H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \dim_{\text{Krull}} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

where the first equality follows from Corollary 2.9. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose G is simple. If a map $\varphi: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ of unstable algebras is non-trivial, then it is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By assumption, $\text{Im } \varphi$ is a non-trivial unstable subalgebra of $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, so by Lemma 3.8, we have $\dim_{\text{Krull}} \text{Im } \varphi = \dim_{\text{Krull}} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. The kernel of φ is a prime ideal since $\text{Im } \varphi$ is a domain. In particular, if φ is not injective, $\dim_{\text{Krull}} \text{Im } \varphi < \dim_{\text{Krull}} H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, a contradiction. Then φ is injective, and hence it is an isomorphism since $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is of finite type. \square

Remark 3.10. Lemma 3.9 may alternatively be proved by calculating the action of the Steenrod operations using the mod p Wu formula in [Sh] and the description of $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ in [HKO] for G exceptional.

Proposition 3.11. *Suppose G is simple and $n = n_\ell + p - 1$. Any A_n -map $\varphi: G_{(p)} \rightarrow G_{(p)}$ which is non-trivial in mod p cohomology is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, there is a map $\bar{\varphi}: P^n G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ satisfying a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma \varphi} & \Sigma G_{(p)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P^n G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & BG_{(p)}. \end{array}$$

Then $\bar{\varphi}$ is non-trivial in the module of indecomposables of mod p cohomology of dimension $\leq 2n_\ell$. By Lemma 3.6, there is a map of unstable algebras $\hat{\varphi}: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ satisfying $i_n^* \circ \hat{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi}^*$. By the non-triviality of $\bar{\varphi}^*$ above, $\hat{\varphi}$ is also non-trivial. Then by Lemma 3.9, $\hat{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism, implying that φ is an isomorphism in the module of indecomposables of mod p cohomology. So φ is an isomorphism in mod p cohomology, and therefore since G is of finite type, φ is a homotopy equivalence by the J.H.C. Whitehead theorem. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose G is simple, $p > 2n_\ell$, $n = n_\ell + p - 1$ and α is of infinite order. If $\mathcal{G}(P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is A_n -equivalent to $\mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)_{(p)}$, then the fiberwise p -localized adjoint bundle $(\text{ad } P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is fiberwise A_n -equivalent to $S^d \times G_{(p)}$.*

Proof. Let $g: \mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ be an A_n -equivalence, and define $f: G_{(p)} \rightarrow G_{(p)}$ by the composite

$$G_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(p)}} \mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)_{(p)} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{G}(P_\alpha)_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{(p)}} G_{(p)}$$

where $\sigma: G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)$ is the canonical section of the map $\pi: \mathcal{G}(S^d \times G) \rightarrow G$. Since $\sigma_{(p)}$ and $\pi_{(p)}$ are A_∞ -maps and g is an A_n -map, f is an A_n -map. We shall show that f is a homotopy equivalence. We consider the map $\pi: \mathcal{G}(P_\beta) \rightarrow G$ in homotopy groups for a map $\beta \in \pi_d(BG)$. As in [To], we have

$$\pi_*(S_{(p)}^{2k-1}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} & * = 2k - 1 \\ 0 & 0 \leq * \leq 2p + 2k - 5 \text{ and } * \neq 2k - 1. \end{cases}$$

Then by (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad \pi_*(G_{(p)}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^2 & * = 2m - 1 \text{ and } G = \text{Spin}(4m) \\ \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} & * = 2n_1 - 1, \dots, 2n_\ell - 1 \text{ and the above condition fails} \\ 0 & 0 \leq * \leq 2p - 1 \text{ and } * \neq 2n_1 - 1, \dots, 2n_\ell - 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider the homotopy exact sequence

$$\pi_{2i-1}(\Omega^d G_{(p)}) \rightarrow \pi_{2i-1}(\mathcal{G}(P_\beta)_{(p)}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \pi_{2i-1}(G_{(p)}) \rightarrow \pi_{2i-2}(\Omega^d G_{(p)})$$

associated with the homotopy fibration $\Omega^d G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P_\beta) \xrightarrow{\pi} G$ corresponding to the evaluation fibration $\Omega^{d-1} G \rightarrow \text{map}(S^d, BG; \beta) \rightarrow BG$. Since α is of infinite order, $d = 2n_i$ for some i . Then by (3.2) and $p > 2n_\ell$, we have $\pi_{2n_\ell-1}(\Omega^d G_{(p)}) = 0$ and $\pi_{2n_\ell-2}(\Omega^d G_{(p)}) = 0$, implying that $\pi_*: \pi_{2n_\ell-1}(\mathcal{G}(P_\beta)_{(p)}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)})$ is an isomorphism. Then in particular the maps $\sigma_*: \pi_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n_\ell-1}(\mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)_{(p)})$ and $\pi_*: \pi_{2n_\ell-1}(\mathcal{G}(P_\alpha)_{(p)}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)})$ are isomorphisms, and hence $f_*: \pi_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)})$ is an isomorphism. Since the Hurewicz map $\pi_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)}) \rightarrow QH_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)})$ is an isomorphism, it follows from the naturality of the Hurewicz map that $f_*: QH_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)}) \rightarrow QH_{2n_\ell-1}(G_{(p)})$ is an isomorphism, implying f is non-trivial in mod p cohomology, where QA is the module of indecomposables of a ring A . Thus by Proposition 3.11, f is a homotopy equivalence. Now the composite $g \circ \sigma_{(p)} \circ f^{-1}$ is an A_n -section of $\pi_{(p)}: \mathcal{G}(P_\alpha)_{(p)} \rightarrow G_{(p)}$. Therefore $(\text{ad } P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is fiberwise A_n -equivalent to $S^n \times G_{(p)}$ by Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Suppose G is simple, $p > 2n_\ell$, $n = n_\ell + p - 1$ and α is of infinite order. If $\mathcal{G}(P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is A_n -equivalent to $\mathcal{G}(S^d \times G)_{(p)}$, then α is divisible by p .*

Proof. Since G is simple, we have $n_1 \geq 2$, implying $d \geq 4$. Then the proof is done by combining Proposition 3.7 and 3.12. \square

We now obtain:

Theorem 3.14. *Suppose G is simple, $p > 2n_\ell$ and $\beta \in \pi_d(BG)$ is of infinite order. If α is not divisible by p and N is large enough, then $\mathcal{G}(P_\alpha)_{(p)}$ is not A_n -equivalent to $\mathcal{G}(P_{p^N \alpha})_{(p)}$ for some n .*

Proof. Combine Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 3.13. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let p_1, p_2, \dots be primes greater than $2n_\ell$, and let N_1, N_2, \dots be large integers. Let P_k denote the principal G -bundle over S^d corresponding to k -times an infinite order

generator of $\pi_d(BG)$. Then by Theorem 3.14, $\mathcal{G}(P_{p_1^{N_1} \dots p_k^{N_k}})$ for $k \geq 1$ have distinct A_∞ -types. Therefore the proof is completed by the infiniteness of primes. \square

REFERENCES

- [AW] J.F. Adams and C.W. Wilkerson, *Finite H-spaces and Algebras over the Steenrod algebra*, Ann. of Math. **111** (1980), no. 1, 95–143.
- [A] J. Aguadé, *Computing Lannes T-functor*, Israel J. Math. **65** (1989), no. 3, 303–310.
- [AB] M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A **308** (1983), 523–615.
- [CS] M.C. Crabb and W.A. Sutherland, *Counting homotopy types of gauge groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **81** (2000), 747–768.
- [Fu] M. Fuchs, *Verallgemeinerte Homotopie-Homomorphismen und klassifizierende Räume*, Math. Ann. **161** (1965) 197–230.
- [G] D.H. Gottlieb, *Applications of bundle map theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **171** (1972), 23–50.
- [HK1] H. Hamanaka and A. Kono, *Unstable K^1 -group and homotopy type of certain gauge groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **136** (2006), no. 1, 149–155.
- [HK2] H. Hamanaka and A. Kono, *Homotopy type of gauge groups of $SU(3)$ -bundles over S^6* , Topology Appl. **154** (2007), no. 7, 1377–1380.
- [HKK] H. Hamanaka, S. Kaji, and A. Kono, *Samelson products in $Sp(2)$* , Topology Appl. **155** (2008), no. 11, 1207–1212.
- [HKO] S. Hasui, D. Kishimoto, and A. Ohsita, *Samelson products in p -regular exceptional Lie groups*, Topology Appl. **178** (2014), 17–29.
- [KKKT] Y. Kamiyama, D. Kishimoto, A. Kono, and S. Tsukuda, *Samelson products of $SO(3)$ and applications*, Glasg. Math. J. **49** (2007), 405–409.
- [KK] D. Kishimoto and A. Kono, *Splitting of gauge groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 6715–6731.
- [K] A. Kono, *A note on the homotopy type of certain gauge groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh: Sect. A **117** (1991), 295–297.
- [L] J. Lannes, *Sur les espaces fonctionnels dont la source est le classifiant d'un p -groupe abélien élémentaire*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **75** (1992), 135–244.
- [Ma] G. Masbaum, *Sur la cohomologie du classifiant du groupe de jauge sur certains 4-complexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **310** (1990) 115–118.
- [N] M.D. Neusel, *Localizations over the Steenrod algebras. The lost chapter*, Math. Z. **235** (2000), 353–378.
- [Sh] P.B. Shay, *mod p Wu formulas for the Steenrod algebra and the Dyer-Lashof algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1977), no. 2, 339–347.
- [St] J.D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H-spaces, I & II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 275–292; 293–312.
- [Th] S.D. Theriault, *The homotopy types of $Sp(2)$ -gauge groups*, Kyoto J. Math. **50** (2010), no. 3, 591–605.
- [To] H. Toda, *Composition Methods in Homotopy Groups of Spheres*, Ann. of Math. Studies **49**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton N.J., 1962.
- [Ts] M. Tsutaya, *Finiteness of A_n -equivalence types of gauge groups*, J. London Math. Society **85** (2012), 142–164.
- [W] C.W. Wilkerson, *Integral closure of unstable Steenrod algebra actions*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **13** (1978), 49–55.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: kishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: tsutaya@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp